# GLOBALLY CONVERGENT CODERIVATIVE-BASED NEWTONIAN ALGORITHMS IN NONSMOOTH OPTIMIZATION

### **BORIS MORDUKHOVICH**

Wayne State University, USA

Lecture 4 at the Forum on Developments and Origins of Operations Research

Shenzhen, China, November 2021

ORGANIZERS: Operations Research Society of China & Southern University of Science and Technology

### NEWTONIAN METHODS FOR SMOOTH FUNCTIONS

First consider the unconstrained optimization problem

minimize  $\varphi(x)$  subject to  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

with  $C^2$ -smooth objective function  $\varphi$ . The classical Newton method exhibits the local convergence with a quadratic rate provided that  $\nabla^2 \varphi(\bar{x})$  is positive-definite. To achieve the global convergence, various line search procedures are used

$$x^{k+1} := x^k + \tau_k d^k$$
 with  $-\nabla \varphi(x^k) = H_k d^k$ 

where  $H_k$  is an appropriate approximation of the Hessian  $\nabla^2 \varphi(\bar{x})$  for quasi-Newton methods. The Levenberg-Marquardt method

$$H_k := \nabla^2 \varphi(x^k) + \mu_k I$$
 with  $\mu_k := c \| \nabla \varphi(x^k) \|$ 

works when  $\nabla^2 \varphi(x^k)$  is merely positive-semidefinite.

## MAJOR GOALS

Replacing the Hessian  $\nabla^2 \varphi$  by its coderivative-based generalized Hessian (second-order subdifferential)  $\partial^2 \varphi$ , pursue the following:

- Design and justify the globally convergent generalized damped Newton method with the backtracking line search for unconstrained problems of  $C^{1,1}$  optimization.
- Design and justify the globally convergent Levenberg-Marquardt method with the backtracking line search for unconstrained problems of  $C^{1,1}$  optimization.
- Using forward-backward envelopes, extend both coderivative-based generalized Newton methods to problems of convex composite optimization encompassing problems with constraints.
- Solving Lasso problems by the developed generalized Newton algorithms with numerical experiments and comparison with other first-order and second-order algorithms of optimization.

### NORMALS, CODERIVATIVES, SUBGRADIENTS

See [M06,M18,RW98] for more details and references. The (limiting) **normal cone** to  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  at  $\bar{x} \in \Omega$  from

$$N_{\Omega}(\bar{x}) := \left\{ v \mid \exists x_k \to \bar{x}, \ \alpha_k \ge 0, \ w_k \in \Pi_{\Omega}(x_k), \ \alpha(x_k - w_k) \to v \right\}$$

where  $\Pi_{\Omega}$  stands for the Euclidean projection. The **coderivative** of  $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^m$  at  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \operatorname{gph} F$ 

 $D^*F(\bar{x},\bar{y})(v) := \left\{ u \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (u,-v) \in N_{\operatorname{gph} F}(\bar{x},\bar{y}) \right\}, \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^m.$ 

When  $F: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -smooth, then

 $D^*F(\bar{x})(v) = \left\{ \nabla F(\bar{x})^* v \right\}, \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^m,$ 

via the adjoint/transpose Jacobian matrix. The (first-order) **subdifferential** of  $\varphi$ :  $\mathbb{R}^n := (-\infty, \infty]$  at  $\overline{x} \in \operatorname{dom} \varphi$  [M76]

$$\partial \varphi(\bar{x}) := \left\{ v \in I\!\!R^n \mid (v, -1) \in N_{\operatorname{epi}\varphi}(\bar{x}, \varphi(\bar{x})) \right\}.$$

Despite their nonconvexity these constructions enjoy full calculus based on the variational/extremal principles of variational analysis.

### **GENERALIZED HESSIANS**

The second-order subdifferential, or generalized Hessian of  $\varphi \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  at  $\overline{x} \in \operatorname{dom} \varphi$  for  $\overline{v} \in \partial \varphi(\overline{x})$  is defined as [M92]

$$\partial^2 \varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{v})(u) := (D^* \partial \varphi)(\bar{x}, \bar{y})(u), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

If  $\varphi$  is  $\mathcal{C}^2$ -smooth around  $\overline{x}$ , then

$$\partial^2 \varphi(\bar{x})(u) = \left\{ \nabla^2 \varphi(\bar{x}) u \right\}, \quad u \in I\!\!R^n.$$

If  $\varphi$  of class  $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}(\mathcal{C}^1$  with Lipschitz gradient) around  $\overline{x}$ , then

$$\partial^2 \varphi(\bar{x})(u) = \partial \langle u, \nabla \varphi(\bar{x}) \rangle, \quad u \in I\!\!R^n.$$

It is realized that the generalized Hessian  $\partial^2 \varphi$  enjoys well-developed second-order calculus and can be viewed as an appropriate replacement of the Hessian  $\nabla^2 \varphi$  for nonsmooth problems.  $\partial^2 \varphi$  is fully computed in terms of the given data for broad classes of problems in optimization and variational analysis.

Algorithm 1 Coderivative-based damped Newton algorithm for  $C^{1,1}$ Input:  $x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\sigma \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ ,  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ 1: for k = 0, 1, ... do 2: If  $\nabla \varphi(x^k) = 0$ , stop; otherwise go to the next step 3: Choose  $d^k \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $-\nabla \varphi(x^k) \in \partial^2 \varphi(x^k)(d^k)$ 4: Set  $\tau_k = 1$ . 5: while  $\varphi(x^k + \tau_k d^k) > \varphi(x^k) + \sigma \tau_k \langle d^k, \nabla \varphi(x^k) \rangle$  do 6: set  $\tau_k := \beta \tau_k$ 7: end while 8: Set  $x^{k+1} := x^k + \tau_k d^k$ 9: end for

The main assumption for the well-posedness and global convergence

(PD) generalized Hessian  $\partial^2 \varphi$  is positive-definite on  $I\!\!R^n$ .

#### TILT STABILITY IN OPTIMIZATION

**DEFINITION** (Pol-Roc98) For  $\varphi \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , a point  $\overline{x} \in \operatorname{dom} \varphi$  is a **tilt-stable local minimizer** with modulus  $\ell$  if there is  $\gamma$  such that

$$M_{\gamma} \colon v \mapsto \operatorname{argmin} \left\{ \varphi(x) - \langle v, x \rangle \mid x \in \mathbb{B}_{\gamma}(\bar{x}) \right\}$$

is single-valued and Lipschitz continuous around the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with constant  $\ell$  and such that  $M_{\gamma}(0) = \{\bar{x}\}$ .

**Theorem** (Pol-Roc98) Let  $\varphi \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is prox-regular and subdifferentially continuous [RW98] at  $\overline{x}$  for  $\overline{v} \in \partial \varphi(\overline{x})$  (this holds, in particular, for  $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$  and for convex functions). Then  $\overline{x}$  is tilt stable local minimizer of  $\varphi$  for  $\overline{v}$  if and only if

 $\partial^2 \varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{v})$  is positive-definite.

By now we have complete characterizations of tilt stability with precise formulas for computing the best modulus bounds for major classes problems in constrained optimization and optimal control.

### WELL-POSEDNESS AND CONVERGENCE OF ALGORITHM 1

**Theorem**[KMPT21] Let  $\varphi \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be of class  $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$  under the fulfillment of (PD). Then whenever  $\partial \varphi(x) \neq 0$  there is  $d \neq 0$  with

 $-\nabla \varphi(x) \in \partial^2 \varphi(x)(d)$  and  $\langle \varphi(x), d \rangle < 0.$ 

Thus for each  $\sigma \in (0,1)$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

 $\varphi(x + \tau d) \leq \varphi(x) + \sigma \tau \langle \nabla \varphi(x), d \rangle$  whenever  $\tau \in (0, \delta)$ .

Furthermore, for any starting point  $x^0$ , each limiting point  $\bar{x}$  of the sequence of iterates  $\{x^k\}$  is a tilt-stable local minimizer of  $\varphi$  satisfying the following conditions:

- The convergence rate of the sequence  $\{\varphi(x^k)\}$  is at least Q-linear.
- The convergence rates of both sequences  $\{x^k\}$  and  $\{\|\nabla \varphi(x^k)\|\}$  are at least R-linear.

#### SUPERLINEAR GLOBAL CONVERGENCE OF ALGORITHM 1

**Definition** [Gfrerer-Outrata21] A mapping  $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^m$  is semismooth<sup>\*</sup> at  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{gph } F$  if

 $\langle u^*, u \rangle = \langle v^*, v \rangle$  for all  $(v^*, u^*) \in \operatorname{gph} D^* F((\bar{x}, \bar{y}); (u, v)).$ 

For single-valued and locally Lipschitzian mappings, this reduces to the semismooth property if F is directionally differentiable.

**Theorem** [KMPT21] In the setting of the previous theorem, suppose that  $\nabla \varphi(\bar{x})$  is semismooth<sup>\*</sup> at  $\bar{x}$ . Then  $\{x^k\}$  *Q*-superlinearly converges to  $\bar{x}$  provided that either  $\nabla \varphi$  is directionally differentiable at  $\bar{x}$ , or  $\sigma \in (0, 1/(2\ell\kappa))$ , where  $\kappa$  is a modulus of tilt stability of  $\bar{x}$  and  $\ell$  is a Lipschitz constant of  $\nabla \varphi$  around  $\bar{x}$ . Moreover, in this case the sequence  $\{\varphi(x^k)\}$  converges *Q*-superlinearly to  $\varphi(\bar{x})$ , and the sequence  $\{\nabla \varphi(x^k)\}$  converges *Q*-superlinearly to 0 as  $k \to \infty$ .

LEVENBERG-MARQUARDT METHOD IN  $C^{1,1}$  OPTIMIZATION

The (PD) assumption is now replaced by

(PSD) generalized Hessian  $\partial^2 \varphi$  is positive-semidefinite on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Algorithm 2 Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm for  $C^{1,1}$  functions

Input: 
$$x^{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$$
,  $c > 0$ ,  $\sigma \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ ,  $\beta \in (0, 1)$   
1: for  $k = 0, 1, ...$  do  
2: If  $\nabla \varphi(x^{k}) = 0$ , stop; else let  $\mu_{k} := c \|\nabla \varphi(x^{k})\|$  and go to Step 3  
3: Choose  $d^{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$  such that  $-\nabla \varphi(x^{k}) \in \partial^{2} \varphi(x^{k})(d^{k}) + \mu_{k} d^{k}$   
4: Set  $\tau_{k} = 1$   
5: while  $\varphi(x^{k} + \tau_{k} d^{k}) > \varphi(x^{k}) + \sigma \tau_{k} \langle \nabla \varphi(x^{k}), d^{k} \rangle$  do  
6: set  $\tau_{k} := \beta \tau_{k}$   
7: end while  
8: Set  $x^{k+1} := x^{k} + \tau_{k} d^{k}$ 

### WELL-POSEDNESS AND CONVERGENCE OF ALGORITHM 2

**Theorem**[KMPT21] Let  $\varphi$  be of class  $C^{1,1}$  under the fulfillment of (PSD). If  $\partial \varphi(x) \neq 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then there is  $d \neq 0$  with

 $-\nabla \varphi(x) \in \partial^2 \varphi(x)(d) + \varepsilon d$  and  $\langle \varphi(x), d \rangle < 0.$ 

Thus for each  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

 $\varphi(x + \tau d) \leq \varphi(x) + \sigma \tau \langle \nabla \varphi(x), d \rangle$  whenever  $\tau \in (0, \delta)$ .

Furthermore, any starting point  $x^0$  produces iterates  $\{x^k\}$  such that the sequence of values  $\{\varphi(x^k)\}$  is monotonically decreasing and all the limiting points of  $\{x^k\}$  satisfy the stationary condition.

### METRIC REGULARITY

**DEFINITION** [M93,RW98] A mapping  $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^m$  is **metrically** regular around  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \operatorname{gph} F$  if there exist  $\mu > 0$  and neighborhoods U of  $\bar{x}$  and V of  $\bar{y}$  such that

$$dist(x; F^{-1}(y)) \le \mu dist(y; F(x))$$
 for all  $(x, y) \in U \times V$ ,

where  $F^{-1}(y) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid y \in F(x)\}.$ 

**Coderivative/Mordukhovich criterion**: If a set-valued mapping  $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^m$  is of closed-graph around  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ , then its metric regularity around this point is equivalent to

 $D^*F(\bar{x},\bar{y})(0) = \{0\}.$ 

### **RATES OF CONVERGENCE FOR ALGORITHM 2**

**THEOREM** [KMPT21] Let  $\bar{x}$  be a limiting point of the sequence of iterates in Algorithm 2. In addition to (PSD), suppose that  $\nabla \varphi$  is metrically regular around this point. Then  $\bar{x}$  is a tilt-stable local minimizer of  $\varphi$ , and Algorithm 2 converges to  $\bar{x}$  with the convergence rates as follows:

- The sequence  $\{\varphi(x^k)\}$  converges to  $\varphi(\bar{x})$  at least Q-linearly.
- The sequences  $\{x^k\}$  and  $\{\nabla \varphi(x^k)\}$  converge at least R-linearly to  $\bar{x}$  and 0, respectively.
- The convergence rates of  $\{x^k\}$ ,  $\{\varphi(x^k)\}$ ,  $\{\nabla\varphi(x^k)\}$  are at least Q-superlinear if  $\nabla\varphi$  is semismooth<sup>\*</sup> at  $\bar{x}$  and either one of the following two conditions holds:
- (a)  $\nabla \varphi$  is directionally differentiable at  $\bar{x}$ ,

(b)  $\sigma \in (0, 1/(2\ell\kappa))$ , where  $\kappa > 0$  and  $\ell > 0$  are moduli of metric regularity and Lipschitz continuity of  $\nabla \varphi$  around  $\bar{x}$ , respectively.

### PROBLEMS OF CONVEX COMPOSITE OPTIMIZATION

Consider the class of optimization problems

minimize 
$$\varphi(x) := f(x) + g(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex and smooth, while the regularizer  $g: \mathbb{R}^n \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is convex and extended-real-valued. This class encompasses problems of constrained optimization. For each  $\gamma > 0$  consider the **proximal mapping** of the regularizer g by

$$\operatorname{Prox}_{\gamma g}(x) := \underset{y \in I\!\!R^n}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left\{ g(y) + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \|y - x\|^2 \right\}$$

and define [PB13] the forward-backward envelope (FBE) of  $\varphi$ 

$$\varphi_{\gamma}(x) := \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle + g(y) + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \|y - x\|^2 \right\}.$$

If f is  $C^2$ -smooth with the Lipschitz continuous  $\nabla f$ , then

$$\nabla \varphi_{\gamma}(x) = \gamma^{-1} \Big( I - \gamma \nabla^2 f(x) \Big) \Big( x - \operatorname{Prox}_{\gamma g} (x - \gamma \nabla f(x)) \Big).$$

Algorithm 3 Coderivative-based damped Newton algorithm for convex composite optimization with  $f(x) := \frac{1}{2} \langle Ax, x \rangle + \langle b, x \rangle + \alpha$ 

**Input:**  $x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\gamma > 0$  such that  $B := I - \gamma A \succ 0$ ,  $\sigma \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ ,  $\beta \in (0,1)$ , and  $\varphi_{\gamma}$  is FBE

40

1: for 
$$k = 0, 1, ...$$
 do  
2: If  $\nabla \varphi_{\gamma}(x^k) \neq 0$ , set  $u^k := x^k - \gamma(Ax^k + b), v^k := \operatorname{Prox}_{\gamma g}(u^k)$ 

3: Find 
$$d^k$$
 as  $-\frac{1}{\gamma}(x^k - v^k) - Ad^k \in \partial^2 g\left(v^k, \frac{1}{\gamma}(u^k - v^k)\right)(x^k - v^k + d^k)$ 

4: Set 
$$\tau_k = 1$$
  
5: while  $\varphi_{\gamma}(x^k + \tau_k d^k) > \varphi_{\gamma}(x^k) + \sigma \tau_k \langle \nabla \varphi_{\gamma}(x^k), d^k \rangle$  do  
6: set  $\tau_k := \beta \tau_k$   
7: end while  
8: Set  $x^{k+1} := x^k + \tau_k d^k$   
9: end for

#### **TWICE EPI-DIFFERENTIABILITY**

The second subderivative [RW98] of  $\varphi \colon I\!\!R^n \to \overline{I\!\!R}$  at  $\bar{x}$  for v, w is

$$d^{2}\varphi(\bar{x},v)(w) := \liminf_{\tau \downarrow 0, u \to w} \Delta_{\tau}^{2}\varphi(\bar{x},v)(u) \text{ where}$$
$$\Delta_{\tau}^{2}\varphi(\bar{x},v)(u) := \frac{\varphi(\bar{x}+\tau u)-\varphi(\bar{x})-\tau\langle v,u\rangle}{\frac{1}{2}\tau^{2}}.$$

The function  $\varphi$  is twice epi-differentiable at  $\overline{x}$  for v if for every wand  $\tau_k \downarrow 0$  there exists a sequence  $w^k \to w$  such that

$$\frac{\varphi(\bar{x}+\tau_k w^k)-\varphi(\bar{x})-\tau_k \langle v, w^k \rangle}{\frac{1}{2}\tau_k^2} \to d^2\varphi(\bar{x},v)(w).$$

A general and verifiable condition for twice epi-differentiability is provided by parabolic regularity, which covers a large territory in second-order variational analysis and optimization [MMS21].

### **SUPERLINEAR CONVERGENCE OF ALGORITHM 3**

**THEOREM** [KMPT21] If A is positive-definite, then Algorithm 3 generates a sequence  $\{x^k\}$  such that it globally R-linearly converges to  $\bar{x}$ , which a tilt-stable local minimizer of  $\varphi$  with modulus  $\kappa := 1/\lambda_{\min(A)}$ . Furthermore, the convergence rate of  $\{x^k\}$  is at least Q-superlinear if  $\partial g$  is semismooth<sup>\*</sup> at  $(\bar{x}, \bar{v})$ , where  $\bar{v} := -A\bar{x} - b$ , and if either one of two following conditions is satisfied:

- $\sigma \in (0, 1/(2LK))$ , where  $L := 2(1 \gamma \lambda_{\min(A)})/\gamma$  and  $K := \kappa + \gamma ||B^{-1}||$ .
- g is twice epi-differentiable at  $\bar{x}$  for  $\bar{v}$ .

### LEVENBERG-MARQUARDT FOR CONVEX OPTIMIZATION

**Algorithm 4** Coderivative-based Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm for convex composite optimization

**Input:**  $x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\gamma > 0$  such that  $B := I - \gamma A \succ 0$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\sigma \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ ,  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ , and  $\varphi_{\gamma}$  is FBE

1: for 
$$k = 0, 1, ...$$
 do

2: Set 
$$u^k := x^k - \gamma(Ax^k + b), v^k := \operatorname{Prox}_{\gamma g}(u^k), \mu_k := \lambda \|\nabla \varphi_{\gamma}(x^k)\|$$

3: Set  $d^k = Bz^k$ , where  $z^k$  is from  $-\frac{1}{\gamma}(x^k - v^k) - (\mu_k I + AB)z^k \in$ 

$$\partial^2 g\left(v^k, \frac{1}{\gamma}(u^k - v^k)\right) \left(x^k - v^k + (B + \gamma \mu_k I)z^k\right)$$

4: Set 
$$\tau_k = 1$$
  
5: while  $\varphi_{\gamma}(x^k + \tau_k d^k) > \varphi_{\gamma}(x^k) + \sigma \tau_k \langle \nabla \varphi_{\gamma}(x^k), d^k \rangle$  do

- 6: set  $\tau_k := \beta \tau_k$
- 7: end while

8: Set 
$$x^{k+1} := x^k + \tau_k d^k$$

9: end for

### **GLOBAL CONVERGENCE OF ALGORITHM 4**

**THEOREM** [KMPT21] Let *A* be positive-semidefinite. Then:

- Any limiting point  $\bar{x}$  of iterates  $\{x^k\}$  of Algorithm 4 is an optimal solutions to  $\varphi$ .
- If  $\partial \varphi$  is metrically regular ar  $(\bar{x}, 0)$  with modulus  $\kappa > 0$ , then the sequence  $\{x^k\}$  globally R-linearly converges to  $\bar{x}$ , and  $\bar{x}$  is a tilt-stable local minimizer of  $\varphi$  with modulus  $\kappa$ .

• The rate of convergence of  $\{x^k\}$  is at least Q-superlinear if  $\partial g$  is semismooth<sup>\*</sup> at  $(\bar{x}, \bar{v})$ , where  $\bar{v} := -A\bar{x} - b$ , and if either one of following two conditions holds:

(a)  $\sigma \in (0, 1/(2LK))$ , where  $L := 2(1 - \gamma \lambda_{\min(A)})/\gamma$  and  $K := \kappa + \gamma \|B^{-1}\|$ .

(b) g is twice epi-differentiable at  $\bar{x}$  for  $\bar{v}$ .

### SOLVING LASSO PROBLEMS

The basic Lasso problem appeared in statistic [T86] as

minimize  $\varphi(x) := \frac{1}{2} ||Ax - b||_2^2 + \mu ||x||_1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$ 

where A is an  $m \times n$  matrix and  $\mu > 0$ . All the parameters of Algorithms 3 (GDNM) and Algorithm 4 (GLMM) are computed entirely in terms of given data of the Lasso problem.

Numerical experiments are conducted for GDNM and GLMM by using random data with  $\mu := 10^{-3}$  and compare with the performance of ADMM [BPCPE10], FISTA [BT09] and SSNAL [LST18].

The conducted experiments show that both GDNM and GLMM behave better (exhibiting the *Q*-superlinear convergence) than the other algorithms for  $m \ge n$ . It may not be the case for m < n when GLMM behaves better than GDNM and often better than FISTA and ADMM but usually worse than SSNAL.

### SOLVING LASSO ON RANDOM INSTANCES

Pro	blem siz	ze and ID	iter					CPU time				
ID	m	n	SSNAL	FISTA	ADMM	GLMM	GDNM	SSNAL	FISTA	ADMM	GLMM	GDNM
1	400	800	25	37742	22873	1813	Error	0.45	145.52	10.89	45.62	Error
2	4000	8000	153	19173	19173	2499	Error	847.87	10000.00	2359.36	10000.00	Error
3	2000	2000	43	239701	12785	59	12	78.38	8138.94	158.12	11.07	2.24
4	4000	4000	246	73374	5970	59	218	1253.45	10000.00	320.81	48.16	178.91
5	2000	2000	22	3619	90501	394	292	18.11	123.38	1141.64	65.60	58.80
6	4000	4000	24	3629	103868	520	555	231.40	462.53	5166.16	369.27	474.74
7	800	400	4	430	10	6	3	0.14	0.86	0.02	0.11	0.08
8	8000	4000	13	487	11	7	3	18.80	117.92	3.67	8.46	4.39
9	800	400	11	245	426	31	7	0.18	0.53	0.12	0.23	0.11
10	8000	4000	11	238	411	72	9	8.37	59.18	32.17	56.37	8.88

### REFERENCES

[BT09] A. Beck and M. Teboulle, A fast iterative shrinkage-thresholding algorithm for linear inverse problems. SIAM J. Imaging Sci. 2 (2009), 183–202.

[BPCPE10] S. Boyd, N. Parikh, E. Chu, B. Peleato and J. Eckstein, Distributed optimization and statistical learning via the alternating direction method of multipliers, Found. Trends Mach. Learning 3 (2010), 1–122.

[GO21] H. Gfrerer and J. V. Outrata, On a semismooth<sup>\*</sup> Newton method for solving generalized equations, SIAM J. Optim. 31 (2021), 489–517.

[KMPT21] P. D. Khanh, B. S. Mordukhovich, V. T. Phat and D. B. Tran, Globally convergent coderivative-based generalized Newton methods in nonsmooth optimization, arXiv:2109.02093.

[LST21] X. Li, D. Sun and K.-C. Toh, A highly efficient semismooth Newton augmented Lagrangian method for solving Lasso problems, SIAM J. Optim. 28 (2018), 433–458.

[MMS21] A. Mohammadi, B. S. Mordukhovich and M. E. Sarabi, Parabolic regularity in geometric variational analysis, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 374 (2021), 1711–1763.

[M76] B. S. Mordukhovich, Maximum principle in problems of time optimal control with nonsmooth constraints, J. Appl. Math. Mech. 40 (1976), 960–969.

[M92] B. S. Mordukhovich, Sensitivity analysis in nonsmooth optimization. In Theoretical Aspects of Industrial Design (D. A. Field and V. Komkov, eds.), pp. 32–46, SIAM Proc. Appl. Math. 58, Philadelphia, 1992. [M93] B. S. Mordukhovich, Complete characterizations of openness, metric regularity, and Lipschitzian properties of multifunctions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 340, 1–35 (1993)

[M06] B. S. Mordukhovich, Variational Analysis and Generalized Differentiation, I: Basic Theory, II: Applications, Springer, Berlin, 2006.

[M18] B. S. Mordukhovich, Variational Analysis and Applications, Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 2018.

[PB13] P. Patrinos and A. Bemporad, Proximal Newton methods for convex composite optimization, In: IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (2013), 2358–2363.

[PR98] R. A. Poliquin and R. T. Rockafellar, Tilt stability of a local minimum, SIAM J. Optim. 8 (1998), 287–299.

[RW98] R. R. Rockafellar and R. J-B. Wets, Variational Analysis, Springer, Berlin, 1998.